arXiv:math/0610156v1 [math.RT] 4 Oct 2006

On the restriction of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ to a Borel subgroup

Vytautas Paskunas

February 2, 2008.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Notations **4**

3 Key **5**

4 Supersingular representations **7**

5 Non-supersingular representations **10**

6 Applications **14**

1 Introduction

Let F be a non-Archimedean local field and let p be the residual characteristic of F. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and let P be a Borel subgroup of G. In this paper we study the restriction of irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$-representations of G to P. We show that in a certain sense P controls the representation theory of G. We then extend our results to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$- modules of finite length and unitary K-Banach space representations of G, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a complete discretely valued field K, with residue field $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ and uniformizer ϖ_K.

The study of smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$-representations of G have been initiated by Barthel and Livne in [1]. They have shown that smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$-representations of G with central character fall into four classes:

(1) one-dimensional representations $\chi \circ \det$;

(2) (irreducible) principal series $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)$, with $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$;

(3) special series $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \chi \circ \det$;

(4) supersingular.

Here, Sp is defined by an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbf{1} \to \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1} \to \mathrm{Sp} \to 0,$$

and the supersingular representations can be characterised by the fact that they are not subquotients of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \chi$ for any smooth character $\chi : P \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^{\times}$. Such representations have been classified only in the case when $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$, by Breuil [3]. If $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ no such classification is known so far although in a joint work with Breuil we can show that there are "a lot more" supersingular representations than in the case $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$.

The main result of this paper can be summed up as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let π and π' be smooth $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$-representations of G, such that π is irreducible with a central character, then the following hold:*

(i) if π is in the principal series then $\pi|_P$ is of length 2, otherwise $\pi|_P$ is an irreducible representation of P;

(ii) We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_P(\mathrm{Sp}, \pi') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \pi'),$$

and if π is not in the special series then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi').$$

The first part of this Theorem and the second part with π' irreducible is due to Berger [2] in the case $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$. Berger uses the theory of (ϕ, Γ)-modules and the classification of supersingular representations. Our proof is completely different and purely representation theoretic. In fact this paper grew out of trying to find a simple representation theoretic reason, to explain Berger's results. Vigneras in [6] has studied the restriction of principal series representation of split reductive p-adic groups to a Borel subgroup. Her results contain the first part of the Theorem in the case π is not supersingular and F arbitrary.

Using the theorem we extend the result to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules of finite length.

Theorem 1.2. *Let π and π' be smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules and suppose that π is of finite length, and the irreducible subquotients of π admit a central character. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}(\pi, \pi')$ and suppose that ϕ is not G-equivariant. Let τ be be the maximal submodule of π, such that $\phi|_\tau$ is G-equivariant, and let σ be an irreducible G-submodule of π/τ, then*

$$\sigma \cong \mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det,$$

for some smooth character $\delta : F^\times \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. Moreover, choose $v \in \pi$ such that the image $\overline{v}$ in σ spans σ^{I_1}, then $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$, $\varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0$, and

$$g(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \delta(\det g)(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G,$$

where Π and I_1 are defined in §2.

This criterion implies:

Corollary 1.3. *Let Π_1 and Π_2 be unitary K-Banach space representations of G. Let $\|.\|_1$ and $\|.\|_2$ be G-invariant norms defining the topology on Π_1 and Π_2. Set*

$$L_1 = \{v \in \Pi_1 : \|v\|_1 \leq 1\}, \quad L_2 = \{v \in \Pi_2 : \|v\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ is of finite length as $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module and the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$ is a subquotient of $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$, then $\delta \circ \det$ is not a subobject of $L_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)$ denotes continuous K-linear maps.

Moreover, Theorem 1.1 implies:

Corollary 1.4. *Let* Π *be a unitary* K*-Banach space representation of* G*, let* $\|.\|$ *be a* G*-invariant norm defining the topology on* Π*. Set*

$$L = \{v \in \Pi : \|v\| \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ *is a finite length* $\mathcal{O}[G]$ *module, and the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed* P*-invariant subspace of* Π *is also* G*-invariant.*

According to Breuil's p-adic Langlands philosophy a 2-dimensional p-adic representation of the absolute Galois group of F should be related to a unitary K-Banach space representation of G, see a forthcoming work of Colmez for the case $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$, where the restriction to a Borel subgroup plays a prominent role. However, if $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ it is an open problem to construct such unitary K-Banach space representations of G. We hope that our results will help to understand this.

Acknowledgements. This paper was written while I was working with Christophe Breuil on a related project. I would like to thank Christophe Breuil for his comments and for pointing out some errors in an earlier draft. I would like to thank Eike Lau for a stimulating discussion, which led to a simplification of proofs in section §6. I would like to thank Florian Herzig and Marie-France Vigneras, their comments improved the original manuscript.

2 Notations

Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be the ring of integers of F, $\mathfrak{p}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$, and let q be the number of elements in the residue field $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. We fix a uniformiser ϖ and an embedding $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. For $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q$ we denote the Teichmüller lift of λ to $\mathfrak{o}$ by $[\lambda]$. Set

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varpi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let P be subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in G, T the subgroup of diagonal matrices, $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ and

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o}^{\times} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^{\times} \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1+\mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1+\mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

All the representations in this paper are on $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$-vector spaces, except for section §6.

3 Key

In this section we show how to control the action of s on a supersingular representation π in terms of the action of P. All the hard work here is done by Barthel and Livne in [1], we just record a consequence of their proof of [1] Theorem 33.

Let σ be an irreducible representation of K. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a representation of $F^\times K$ such that ϖ acts trivially on $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}|_K = \sigma$. Set $\mathcal{F}_\sigma = \text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \tilde{\sigma}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\sigma = \mathrm{End}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$. It is shown in [1] Proposition 8 that as an algebra $\mathcal{H}_\sigma \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p[T]$, for a certain $T \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$, defined in [1] §3. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\varphi = F^\times K$ and $\varphi(1)$ spans σ^{I_1}. Since φ generates $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ as a G-representation T is determined by $T\varphi$.

Lemma 3.1. *(i) If $\sigma \cong \psi \circ \det$, for some character $\psi : \mathfrak{o}^\times \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$, then*

$$T\varphi = \Pi\varphi + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi.$$

(ii) Otherwise,

$$T\varphi = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi.$$

Proof. In the notation of [1] this is a calculation of $T([1, e_{\vec{0}}])$. The claim follows from the formula (19) in the proof of [1] Theorem 19. □

Let π be a supersingular representation of G, such that ϖ acts trivially. Let $v \in \pi^{I_1}$ and suppose that $\langle K \centerdot v \rangle \cong \sigma$. The Frobenius reciprocity gives $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$, such that $\alpha(\varphi) = v$.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists an $n \geq 1$ such that $\alpha \circ T^n = 0$.*

Proof. Now $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$ is naturally a right $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$-module; let $M = \langle \alpha \centerdot \mathcal{H}_\sigma \rangle$ be an $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\sigma, \pi)$ generated by α. The proof of [1] Proposition 32 implies that $\dim_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p} M$ is finite. Let $\overline{T}$ be the image of T in $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}(M)$ and let $m(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\overline{T}$. Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ be such that $m(\lambda) = 0$, then we may write $m(X) = (X - \lambda)h(X)$. Since $m(X)$ is minimal the composition

$$h(T)(\mathcal{F}_\sigma) \to \mathcal{F}_\sigma \to \pi$$

is non-zero. According to [1] Theorem 19, $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ is a free $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ module, hence $h(T)$ is an injection and so $h(T)(\mathcal{F}_\sigma)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$. This implies that

π is a quotient of $\mathcal{F}_\sigma/(T-\lambda)$. Since π is supersingular [1] Corollary 36 implies that $\lambda=0$, and hence $m(X)=X^n$, for some $n\geq 1$. ☐

Corollary 3.3. *Let π be a supersingular representation, such that ϖ acts trivially. Let $v\in\pi^{I_1}$ be such that $\langle K\centerdot v\rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K. Set $v_0=v$ and for $i\geq 0$ set*

$$v_{i+1}=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix}1&[\lambda]\\0&1\end{pmatrix}tv_i.$$

Then $v_i\in\pi^{I_1}$ for all $i\geq 1$ and there exists an $n\geq 1$, such that $v_n=0$.

Proof. Set $\sigma=\langle K\centerdot v\rangle$. If σ is not a character then Lemma 3.1 (ii) implies that $v_i=(\alpha\circ T^i)(\varphi)$, for all $i\geq 0$ in particular I_1 acts trivially on v_i and the statement follows from Lemma 3.2. If σ is a character, then after twisting we may assume that $\sigma=\mathbf{1}$. Since I acts trivially on Πv_0 the space $\langle K\centerdot(\Pi v_0)\rangle$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K\mathbf{1}$. Now

$$v_1=\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix}1&[\lambda]\\0&1\end{pmatrix}s(\Pi v_0).$$

If $v_1=0$ then we are done. If $v_1\neq 0$ then [4] (3.1.7) and (3.1.8) imply that $\langle K\centerdot v_1\rangle\cong\mathrm{St}$, where St is the inflation of the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$. We may apply the previous part to v_1. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Let π be a smooth representation of G and let $v\in\pi^{I_1}$. Suppose that*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix}1&[\lambda]\\0&1\end{pmatrix}tv=0.$$

Then

$$sv=-\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q^\times}\begin{pmatrix}-\varpi[\lambda^{-1}]&1\\0&\varpi^{-1}[\lambda]\end{pmatrix}v.$$

Proof. We may rewrite

$$v=-\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q^\times}t^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}1&[\lambda]\\0&1\end{pmatrix}tv=-\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbf{F}_q^\times}\begin{pmatrix}1&\varpi^{-1}[\lambda]\\0&1\end{pmatrix}v.$$

If $\beta\in F^\times$ then

$$\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&\beta\\0&1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-\beta^{-1}&1\\0&\beta\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\\beta^{-1}&1\end{pmatrix}. \qquad (1)$$

Since v is invariant by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ the matrix identity above implies the result.

$\square$

Since $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$, we will use the Lemma above to show that the action of P on π already "contains all the information" about the action of G on π.

4 Supersingular representations

In this section we study the restriction of a supersingular representations of G to a Borel subgroup.

Lemma 4.1. *Let π be a smooth representation of G and let $v \in \pi^{I_1}$ be non-zero, and such that I acts on v via a character χ, then there exists j, such that $0 \le j \le q-1$ and if we let*

$$w = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv,$$

then $w \in \pi^{I_1}$ and $\langle K \centerdot w \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K.

Proof. Set $\tau = \langle K \centerdot (\Pi v) \rangle$. For $0 \le j \le q-1$ set

$$w_j = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s(\Pi v) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv.$$

The set $\{\Pi v, w_j : 0 \le j \le q-1\}$ spans τ.

If $w_0 = 0$ then Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$\Pi v = -\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & [\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v = -\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \chi(\begin{pmatrix} -[\mu] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv.$$

Since

$$\chi(\begin{pmatrix} [\mu] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}) = \mu^r, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$$

for some $0 \le r < q-1$, we obtain that τ is spanned by the set $\{w_j : 1 \le j \le q-1\}$. Let σ be a K-irreducible subrepresentation of τ. The space σ^{I_1} is one dimensional, so I acts on σ^{I_1} by a character. However, one may verify that the group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times \right\}$$

acts on the set w_j for $1 \leq j \leq q-1$ by distinct characters, hence σ^{I_1} is spanned by w_j for some $1 \leq j \leq q-1$.

Suppose that $w_0 \neq 0$. If w_0 and Πv are linearly independent then the natural map $\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi^s \to \tau$ is an injection, since it induces an injection on $(\mathrm{Ind}_I^K \chi^s)^{I_1}$. It follows from [4] (3.1.5) that $\langle K \centerdot w_0 \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K. If w_0 and Πv are not linearly independent then $\chi = \chi^s$. It follows from [4] (3.1.8) that $\langle K \centerdot w_0 \rangle$ is a isomorphic to a twist of the Steinberg representation by a character.

$\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let π be a smooth representation of G, and let w be a non-zero vector in π. Then there exists a non-zero $v \in \langle P \centerdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1}$ such that $\langle K \centerdot v \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K.*

Proof. Since π is smooth there exists $k \geq 0$ such that w is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $w_1 = t^k w$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Iwahori decomposition gives us

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \\ 0 & 1+\mathfrak{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\tau := \langle I_1 \centerdot w_1 \rangle = \langle (I_1 \cap P) \centerdot w_1 \rangle$. Since I_1 is a pro-p group, we have $\tau^{I_1} \neq 0$, and hence $\langle P \centerdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1} \neq 0$. Let $w_2 \in \langle P \centerdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1} \neq 0$ be non-zero. Since $|I/I_1|$ is prime to p, there exists a smooth character $\chi : I \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ such that

$$w_3 = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \chi(\begin{pmatrix} [\lambda^{-1}] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu^{-1}] \end{pmatrix}) \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda] & 0 \\ 0 & [\mu] \end{pmatrix} w_2$$

is non-zero. Lemma 4.1 applied to w_3 gives the required vector.

$\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let π be supersingular, then $\pi|_P$ is an irreducible representation of P.*

Proof. Let $w \in \pi$ be non-zero. According to Proposition 4.2 there exists a non-zero $v \in \langle P \centerdot w \rangle \cap \pi^{I_1}$, such that $\sigma := \langle K \centerdot v \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K. Corollary 3.3 implies that there exists a non-zero $v' \in \pi^{I_1} \cap \langle P \centerdot v \rangle$ such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v' = 0.$$

According to Lemma 3.4 $sv' \in \langle P \centerdot v' \rangle$. Since $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$ and π is irreducible G-representation we have

$$\pi = \langle G \centerdot v' \rangle = \langle P \centerdot v' \rangle \subseteq \langle P \centerdot w \rangle.$$

Hence, $\pi = \langle P \centerdot w \rangle$ for all $w \in \pi$, and so $\pi|_P$ is irreducible. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *Let* π *and* π' *be smooth representations of* G*, such that* π *is supersingular, then*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi').$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi')$ be non-zero. Choose $v \in \pi^{I_1}$ such that $\langle K \centerdot v \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K. Since by Theorem 4.3 $\pi|_P$ is irreducible ϕ is an injection and hence $\phi(v) \neq 0$. Since v is fixed by I_1 and ϕ is P-equivariant, we have that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $I_1 \cap P$. Since π' is smooth there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Suppose that $k > 1$. Lemma 4.1 implies that there exists j, such that $0 \leq j \leq q-1$ and if we set

$$v_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} tv,$$

then $v_1 \in \pi^{I_1}$ and $\langle K \centerdot v_1 \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K. Since ϕ is P-equivariant, $\phi(v_1)$ is fixed by $I_1 \cap P$ and

$$\phi(v_1) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \lambda^j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\phi(v).$$

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha(1+\alpha\beta)^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1+\alpha\beta)^{-1} & 0 \\ \beta & 1+\alpha\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix identity coupled with

$$t^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

implies that $\phi(v_1)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. By repeating the argument we obtain $w \in \pi^{I_1}$ such that $\langle K \centerdot w \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K and

$\phi(w)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Iwahori decomposition implies that $\phi(w)$ is fixed by I_1. Set $v_0 = w$ and for $i \geq 0$,

$$v_{i+1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v_i.$$

Since v_i are fixed by I_1, $\phi(v_i)$ are fixed by $I_1 \cap P$. Moreover,

$$\phi(v_{i+1}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v_i).$$

Since $\phi(v_0)$ is fixed by I_1, the argument used above implies that $\phi(v_{i+1})$ are fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence fixed by I_1. Corollary 3.3 implies that $v_n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let m be the smallest integer such that $v_m = 0$ and set $v' = v_{m-1}$. Then $v' \in \pi^{I_1}$, $\phi(v') \in (\pi')^{I_1}$ and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t v' = 0, \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t \phi(v') = 0.$$

Lemma 3.4 applied to v' and $\phi(v')$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s v') &= -\phi\Bigg(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} v' \Bigg) \\ &= -\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \begin{pmatrix} -\varpi[\lambda^{-1}] & 1 \\ 0 & \varpi^{-1}[\lambda] \end{pmatrix} \phi(v') = s\phi(v') \end{aligned}$$

Since $G = PI_1 \cup PsI_1$ this implies that $\phi(\pi(g)v') = \pi'(g)\phi(v')$, for all $g \in G$. Since π is irreducible $\pi = \langle G . v' \rangle$ and this implies that ϕ is G-equivariant. □

5 Non-supersingular representations

Let $\chi : T \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ be a smooth character. We consider it as a character of P, via $P \to P/U \cong T$. We define a smooth representation κ_χ of P by the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \kappa_\chi \to \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi \to \chi \to 0 \tag{2}$$

where the map on the right is given by the evaluation at the identity. The representation κ_χ is absolutely irreducible by [6] Theoreme 5. If $\chi = \psi \circ \det$

for some smooth character $\psi : F^{\times} \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^{\times}$ then the sequence splits as a P-representation and we obtain

$$\operatorname{Sp} \otimes \psi \circ \det|_P \cong \kappa_{\chi}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let π be a smooth representation of G. Suppose that $\operatorname{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi) \neq 0$ then χ extends uniquely to a character of G, and*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_G(\chi, \pi).$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi)$ be non-zero, and let v be a basis vector of the underlying vector space of χ. Since π is smooth there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $t\phi(v) = \chi(t)\phi(v)$, we obtain that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and by repeating this we obtain that $\phi(v)$ is fixed by sUs. Now sUs and P generate G. This implies the claim. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let π' be a smooth representation of G. Suppose that $\chi \neq \chi^s$ and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi')$ be non-zero, then ϕ is an injection.*

Proof. Lemma 5.1 implies that $\operatorname{Hom}_P(\chi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi) = 0$. Hence the sequence (2) cannot split. So if $\operatorname{Ker} \phi \neq 0$ then $\operatorname{Ker} \phi$ contains κ_{χ}. Hence, ϕ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\phi} \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\chi, \pi')$. Lemma 5.1 implies that $\bar{\phi} = 0$ and hence $\phi = 0$. □

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that $\chi \neq \chi^s$ then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi)$ are non-zero, then by Corollary 5.2 the restriction of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 to κ_{χ} induces non-zero homomorphisms in $\operatorname{Hom}_P(\kappa_{\chi}, \kappa_{\chi})$. Since κ_{χ} is absolutely irreducible this implies that there exists a scalar $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^{\times}$ such that the restriction of $\phi_1 - \lambda\phi_2$ to κ_{χ} is zero. Now $\phi_1 - \lambda\phi_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi)$ and is not an injection, hence by Corollary 5.2 it must be equal to zero. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let π be a smooth representation of G, then the restriction to κ_{χ} induces an isomorphism*

$$\iota : \operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_P(\kappa_{\chi}, \pi).$$

Proof. If $\chi \neq \chi^s$ then the injectivity of ι is given by Corollary 5.2. If $\chi = \chi^s$ then the injectivity follows from Lemma 5.1 and [1] Theorem 30(1)(b). We are going to show that ι is surjective.

Let $\varphi_1 \in \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi$ be an I_1 invariant function such that $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi_1 = PI_1$ and $\varphi_1(1) = 1$. Set

$$\varphi_2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\lambda] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} s\varphi_1.$$

Then $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ is a basis of $(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{I_1}$. Since $G = PK$ we have

$$(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi)^{K_1} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_I^K \chi,$$

as a representation of K, and hence $\sigma = \langle K \centerdot \varphi_2 \rangle$ is an irreducible representation of K, which is not a character. We let $F^\times$ act on σ via χ. Frobenius reciprocity gives us a map

$$\alpha : \text{c-}\operatorname{Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma \to \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi.$$

It follow from [1] Theorem 30 (3) that there exists $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$, determined by χ, such that α induces an isomorphism

$$\text{c-}\operatorname{Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma/(T - \lambda) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \chi,$$

where $T \in \operatorname{End}_G(\text{c-}\operatorname{Ind}_{F^\times K}^G \sigma)$ is as in section §3. Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\varphi_2 = \lambda^{-1}(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\varphi_2).$$

Let $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_P(\kappa_\chi, \pi)$ be non-zero. Since $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi_2 = PsI_1$ we have $\varphi_2(1) = 0$ and hence $\varphi_2 \in \kappa_\chi$. Since κ_χ is irreducible $\psi(\varphi_2) \neq 0$ and the P-equivariance of ψ gives:

$$\psi(\varphi_2) = \lambda^{-1}(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbf{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [\mu] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} t\psi(\varphi_2)). \tag{3}$$

This equality coupled with the argument used in the proof of 4.4 implies that $\psi(\varphi_2)$ is fixed by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since ψ is P-equivariant $\psi(\varphi_2)$ is fixed by $I_1 \cap P$. The Iwahori decomposition implies that $\psi(\varphi_2)$ is fixed by I_1.

So I_1 fixes $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$ and I acts on $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$ via the character χ. Hence $\langle K \centerdot \Pi\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle$ is a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_I^K \chi$. Now

$$\sum_{\mu\in\mathbf{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix}1 & [\mu]\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} s(\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)) = \psi\Bigg(\sum_{\mu\in\mathbf{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix}1 & [\mu]\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} t\varphi_2\Bigg) = \lambda\psi(\varphi_2)\neq 0.$$

If $\chi|_{T\cap K}\neq\chi^s|_{T\cap K}$ then this implies that $\langle K\centerdot\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle\cong\operatorname{Ind}_I^K\chi$, and hence $\langle K\centerdot\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle\cong\sigma$. If $\chi|_{T\cap K}=\psi\circ\det$ for some $\psi:\mathfrak{o}^\times\to\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$ then the above equality implies that if $\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)$ and $\psi(\varphi_2)$ are linearly independent then

$$\langle K\centerdot\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle\cong\operatorname{Ind}_I^K\chi,$$

otherwise

$$\langle K\centerdot\Pi\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle\cong\operatorname{St}\otimes\psi\circ\det,$$

where St is the lift to K of Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_q)$. In both cases we obtain that $\langle K\centerdot\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle\cong\operatorname{St}\otimes\psi\circ\det\cong\sigma$. Hence, $\langle G\centerdot\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle$ is a quotient of $\text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G\sigma$. The equation (3) and Lemma 3.1 implies that $\langle G\centerdot\psi(\varphi_2)\rangle$ is a quotient of

$$\text{c-Ind}_{F^\times K}^G\sigma/(T-\lambda)\cong\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi.$$

Hence, ι is also surjective. □

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose that $\chi\neq\chi^s$ and let π be a smooth representation of G then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi,\pi)\cong\operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi,\pi).$$

Proof. Let $\psi\in\operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi,\pi)$ be non-zero. It follows from Corollary 5.2 that the composition

$$\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi\to\pi\to\pi/\langle G\centerdot\psi(\kappa_\chi)\rangle$$

is zero. Hence the image of ψ is contained in $\langle G\centerdot\psi(\kappa_\chi)\rangle$. It follows from Theorem 5.4 applied to $\pi=\langle G\centerdot\psi(\kappa_\chi)\rangle$ and the irreducibility of $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi$ that $\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\chi$ is isomorphic to $\langle G\centerdot\psi(\kappa_\chi)\rangle$ as a G-representation. The G-equivariance of ψ follows from Corollary 5.3. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let π be a smooth representation of G, then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_P(\operatorname{Sp},\pi)\cong\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\mathbf{1},\pi).$$

Note that, $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Sp},\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\mathbf{1})=0$, but $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\mathbf{1},\operatorname{Ind}_P^G\mathbf{1})\neq 0$, so the above result cannot be improved.

6 Applications

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers and ϖ_K a uniformizer, and we assume that $\mathcal{O}/\varpi_K\mathcal{O} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$. We will extend the results of previous sections to smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules of finite length, and, after passing to the limit, to unitary K-Banach space representations of G.

Theorem 6.1. *Let π and π' be smooth $\mathcal{O}[G]$ modules and suppose that π is of finite length, and the irreducible subquotients of π admit a central character. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[P]}(\pi, \pi')$ and suppose that ϕ is not G-equivariant. Let τ be be the maximal submodule of π, such that $\phi|_\tau$ is G-equivariant, and let σ be an irreducible G-submodule of π/τ, then*

$$\sigma \cong \operatorname{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det,$$

for some smooth character $\delta : F^\times \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. Moreover, choose $v \in \pi$ such that the image $\overline{v}$ in σ spans σ^{I_1}, then $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$, $\varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0$, and

$$g(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \delta(\det g)(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Proof. We denote by $\operatorname{Ind}_1^G \pi'$ the space of smooth functions from G to the underlying $\mathcal{O}$ module of π', equipped with the G action via right translations. Let $\alpha : \pi \to \operatorname{Ind}_1^G \pi'$ be a P-equivariant map, given by

$$[\alpha(w)](g) = g\phi(w) - \phi(gw), \quad \forall w \in \pi, \forall g \in G.$$

Then $\tau = \operatorname{Ker} \alpha$. Hence α induces a P-equivariant map

$$\overline{\alpha} : \sigma \to \operatorname{Ind}_1^G \pi'.$$

Suppose that $\overline{\alpha}$ is G-equivariant, then

$$[g^{-1}\alpha(gv)](1) = [g^{-1}\overline{\alpha}(g\overline{v})](1) = [\overline{\alpha}(\overline{v})](1) = [\alpha(v)](1) = 0.$$

Hence, $g\phi(v) = \phi(gv)$, for all $g \in G$. So the maximality of τ implies that $\overline{\alpha}$ is not G-equivariant. Hence Theorem 4.4, Lemma 5.1, Corollaries 5.5 and 5.6 imply that

$$\sigma \cong \operatorname{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$$

for some smooth character $\delta : F^\times \to \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$, and

$$\langle G \centerdot \alpha(v) \rangle \cong \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1} \otimes \delta \circ \det .$$

After twisting we may assume that δ is the trivial character. It follows from [1] Theorem 30(1)(b) that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(\operatorname{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}, \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}) \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p.$$

Corollary 5.6 applied to $\pi = \operatorname{Ind}_P^G \mathbf{1}$ implies that $\overline{\alpha}(\overline{v})$ is a scalar multiple of the function denoted φ_2 in the proof of Theorem 5.4. By construction $\alpha(v) = \overline{\alpha}(\overline{v})$. Hence, $\alpha(v)$ is fixed by I_1 and $\Pi\alpha(v) + \alpha(v)$ spans the trivial subrepresentation of G. In particular,

$$[\Pi\alpha(v)](1) + [\alpha(v)](1) = [h\Pi\alpha(v)](1) + [h\alpha(v)](1), \quad \forall h \in P.$$

Since ϕ is P-equivariant we obtain:

$$\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) = h(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)), \quad \forall h \in P.$$

Suppose that $\Pi\phi(v) = \phi(\Pi v)$. Since $\alpha(v)$ is I_1-invariant we obtain

$$h\Pi u\phi(v) - \phi(h\Pi uv) = [u\alpha(v)](h\Pi) = [\alpha(v)](h\Pi) = h(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0,$$

for all $h \in P$ and $u \in I_1$. And

$$hu\phi(v) - \phi(huv) = [u\alpha(v)](h) = [\alpha(v)](h) = 0, \quad \forall u \in I_1, \forall h \in P.$$

Since $G = PI_1 \cup P\Pi I_1$, we obtain that $g\phi(v) = \phi(gv)$, for all $g \in G$, but this contradicts the maximality of τ. So $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v) \neq 0$. Since σ is irreducible $\varpi_K \overline{v} = 0$, and hence

$$[\varpi_K \alpha(v)](\Pi) = \varpi_K(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = 0,$$

so $\mathcal{O}(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)) = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p(\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v))$. Lemma 5.1 implies that G acts trivially on $\Pi\phi(v) - \phi(\Pi v)$. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let π and by π' be as above and suppose that if* $\operatorname{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$ *is a subquotient of π then $\delta \circ \det$ is not a subobject of π' then*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(\pi, \pi') \cong \operatorname{Hom}_P(\pi, \pi').$$

Definition 6.3. *A unitary K-Banach space representation Π of G is a K-Banach space Π equipped with a K-linear action of G, such that the map $G \times \Pi \to \Pi$, $(g, v) \mapsto gv$ is continuous and such that the topology on Π is given by a G-invariant norm.*

Corollary 6.4. *Let* Π_1 *and* Π_2 *be unitary* K*-Banach space representations of* G*. Let* $\|.\|_1$ *and* $\|.\|_2$ *be* G*-invariant norms defining the topology on* Π_1 *and* Π_2*. Set*

$$L_1 = \{v \in \Pi_1 : \|v\|_1 \leq 1\}, \quad L_2 = \{v \in \Pi_2 : \|v\|_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ *is of finite length as* $\mathcal{O}[G]$ *module and the irreducible subquotients admit a central character. Moreover, suppose that if* $\mathrm{Sp} \otimes \delta \circ \det$ *is a subquotient of* $L_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$*, then* $\delta \circ \det$ *is not a subobject of* $L_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$*, then*

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2)$ *denotes continuous* K*-linear maps.*

Proof. Corollary 6.2 implies that for all $k \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_P(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2).$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1/\varpi_K^k L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1, L_2/\varpi_K^k L_2)$ by passing to the limit we obtain:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(L_1, L_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_P(L_1, L_2).$$

It follows from [5] Proposition 3.1 that

$$\mathcal{L}(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_G(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \mathrm{Hom}_P(L_1, L_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K \cong \mathcal{L}_P(\Pi_1, \Pi_2).$$

□

Proposition 6.5. *Let* π *be a smooth* $\mathcal{O}[G]$ *module of finite length, and suppose that the irreducible subquotients of* π *are either supersingular or characters then every* P*-invariant* $\mathcal{O}$*-submodule of* π *is also* G*-invariant.*

Proof. Let π' be $\mathcal{O}[P]$ submodule of π. If σ is an irreducible subquotient of π then by Theorem 4.3 $\sigma|_P$ is also irreducible, hence π and π' are $\mathcal{O}[P]$ submodules of finite length.

Let τ be an irreducible $\mathcal{O}[P]$-submodule of π'. Since π is a finite length $\mathcal{O}[G]$ module, the submodule $\langle G \centerdot \tau \rangle$ is of finite length. Let σ be a G-irreducible quotient of $\langle G \centerdot \tau \rangle$. Since τ generates $\langle G \centerdot \tau \rangle$ as a G-representation, the P-equivariant composition:

$$\tau \to \langle G \centerdot \tau \rangle \to \sigma$$

is non-zero, and since τ is irreducible, it is an injection. Now $\sigma|_P$ is irreducible, so the above composition is an isomorphism. Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 5.1 imply that τ is G-invariant and isomorphic to σ. By induction on the length of π' as an $\mathcal{O}[P]$-module π'/τ is a G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of π/τ. Since π' is the set of elements of π whose image in π/τ lies in π'/τ, π' is G-invariant. ☐

Corollary 6.6. *Let* Π *be a unitary* K*-Banach space representation of* G*, let* $\|.\|$ *be a* G*-invariant norm defining the topology on* Π*. Set*

$$L = \{v \in \Pi : \|v\| \leq 1\}.$$

Suppose that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ *is a finite length* $\mathcal{O}[G]$ *module, and the irreducible subquotients are either supersingular or characters, then every closed* P*-invariant subspace of* Π *is also* G*-invariant.*

Proof. Let Π_1 be a closed P-invariant subspace of Π. Set $M = \Pi_1 \cap L$, then M is an open P-invariant lattice in Π_1. Proposition 6.5 implies that for all $k \geq 1$, $M/\varpi_K^k M$ is a G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $L/\varpi_K^k L$. By passing to the limit we obtain that M is a G-invariant $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of L. Since $\Pi_1 = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} K$ we obtain the claim. ☐

References

[1] L. Barthel and R. Livné, 'Irreducible modular representations of GL_2 of a local field', *Duke Math. J.* 75 (1994) 261-292.

[2] L. Berger 'Represéntations modulaires de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ et représentations galoisiennes de dimension 2', Preprint October 2005.

[3] C. Breuil, 'Sur quelques represéntations modulaires et p-adiques de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ I', *Compositio Mathematica* 138 (2) (2003), 165-188.

[4] V. Paskunas, 'Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$', *Mémoires de la SMF* 99 (2004).

[5] P. Schneider, *Nonarchimedean Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.

[6] M.-F. Vignéras 'Série principale modulo p de groupes réductifs p-adiques', Preprint April 2006.